

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/982,454 20995	10/17/2001 7590 05/23/2003	Kiyoshi Satoh	ASMJP.100AUS 7409	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			EXAMINER	
			CROWELL, ANNA M	
ikviivė, ca	72014		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	***

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/982,454	SATOH ET AL.
·	Examin r	Art Unit
	Michelle Crowell	1763
The MAILING DATE f this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated at the control of the control o	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	·	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelir	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would I canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	s issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7 and 9-16</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disapor	roved by the Examiner
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		oved by the Examiner.
		·
10. Other:	No Con	To de de
ame	Luz L. A	1eja ravo
J	Luz L. A Frimary At Un	+ 1360
	Tot un	CY 195

Luz L. Alejardro Primary Examera Art Unit 1763

Continuati n She t (PTO-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: applicant raises the new issue of an "inner slanted side surface being slanted outward at an angle greater than the substrate-supporting surface and facing an outer edge of the substrate when loaded", and therefore further search and consideration are required to properly examine this limitation.